

A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project TR010062

7.19 Project Design Principles Change Log

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

24 January 2023

Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project Development Consent Order 202x

7.19 Project Design Principles Change Log

| Planning Inspectorate Scheme | TR010062 |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Reference | |
| Application Document Reference | 7.19 |
| Author: | A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project Team, |
| | National Highways |

| Version | Date | Status of Version |
|---------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Rev 1 | 24 January 2023 | Deadline 3 |

Contents

| Purpos | e of this document | . 2 |
|--------|--|-----|
| 2 | Development Consent Order Project Design Principles Change Log | . 3 |

1. Introduction

Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This document, the Project Design Principles (PDP) Change Log, sets out and explains the changes to the PDP that have been made in Revision 2 of the PDP (Document Reference 5.11, Rev 2) (submitted at Deadline 3) when compared to the version of the PDP submitted as part of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project (the Project).
- 1.1.2 The DCO application (the Application) was submitted by National Highways (the Applicant), to the Secretary of State through the Planning Inspectorate on 21 June 2022 and was accepted for examination on 19 July 2022.
- 1.1.3 A number of stakeholders and interested parties have made comments about the content of the PDP through Relevant Representations, Written Representations and Local Impact Reports. A number of amendments have been proposed to the PDP to address some of these comments and these are reflected in revision 1 of the PDP submitted at Deadline 3.
- 1.1.4 This Change Log sets out each change made to the PDP, the source of that change (e.g. the Relevant Representation that it was raised in), and an explanation of why the change has been made.
- 1.1.5 This Change Log should be read alongside the updated version of the Project Design Principles (Document Reference 5.11, Rev 2) and is correct as of Deadline 3 of the Examination.

2 Development Consent Order Project Design Principles Change Log



Table 2-1: Development Consent Order Project Design Principles Change Log

| Table 2-1. Development Conse | in Order i roject Design i morpies | Change Log |
|--|--|--|
| Section Amended in Revised Document | Source of Comment of Reason for Amendment (Examination Library Reference) | Brief Description and Explanation of Change |
| Section 3.2 Principle LI10 | N/A | Following further review by National Highways, wording has been added to principle LI 10 to clarify agreement when departing from the standard 1:3 gradient as a minimum slope for mitigation planting as well as the inclusion of relevant guidance on the returning of land for agricultural use - Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food – Agricultural Land Classification guidance. |
| Section 4.1 Principle 0102.06 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment from the Environment Agency we have reworded principle 0102.06 to include locating the attenuation pond as far away as possible from the River Eamont. |
| Section 4.1 Principle 0102.05 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | A comment received from the Environment Agency requesting that principle 0102.06 should include ecological planting that is "native to the Eden Catchment" around attenuation pond. |
| Section 3.4 Principle GB02 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received by the Environment Agency, additional wording has been included for principle GB02, to include the opportunity to review blue infrastructure where there is potential harm to habitats and extend blue infrastructure. |
| Section 3.2 Principle LI19 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received by the Environment Agency requesting rewording of principle LI19 to avoid the use of hardstanding directly adjacent to watercourses and where the 10m buffer cannot be achieved EA will need to review. |
| Section 3.2 Principle LI17 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting additional wording to principle LI17 to include the "Institute of Fisheries Management fish pass manual" guidance. |
| Section 3.2 Principle LI04 Section 3.5 Principle AF02 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting additional wording to principle LI04 to ensure adaptability of structures in relation to increased risk of bank erosion and geomorphological processes due to climate change. A new principle was also included within the Adaptability and future-proofing section in Section 3.5 of the PDP to ensure the detailed design takes account of increased flood risk as a result of climate change and in accordance with the EA's published guidance on Flood Risk Assessment: Climate Change Allowances. |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference: TR010062 Application Document Reference: TR010062/NH/EX/7.19



| Section Amended in Revised Document | Source of Comment of Reason for Amendment (Examination Library Reference) | Brief Description and Explanation of Change |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Section 3.2 Principle LI14 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting additional wording to principle LI 14 to include appropriate plant species local to the catchment and appropriate margin for watercourses to be agreed with relevant land drainage authority. |
| Section 3.2 Principle LI15 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting additional wording to principle LI15 to include appropriate plant species local to catchment. |
| Section 3.2 Principle LI16 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting additional wording to principle LI16 to include 'minimum' in relation to the size of attenuation ponds. A native species planting palette and species that must not pose a biosecurity risk to the catchment. |
| Section 3.2 Principle LI22 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting a new principle (LI22) that minimises the encroachment on and land-take in relation to Mineral Safeguarding Areas. |
| | | |
| Section 4.3 Principle 0405.04 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting additional wording to principle 0405.04 and to have "Normal watercourse conditions" in replacement of "River Bank Level". |
| Section 4.4 Principle 0405.11 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting additional wording to principle 0405.11 requesting the rewording of the principle to remove flood compensation located close to the Trout Beck. National Highways has also reviewd the principle to clarify details regarding the river restoration scheme. |
| Section 4.7 Principle 09.09 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting a new principle (09.09) reducing impacts on fen. |

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference: TR010062 Application Document Reference: TR010062/NH/EX/7.19



| Section Amended in Revised Document | Source of Comment of Reason for Amendment (Examination Library Reference) | Brief Description and Explanation of Change |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Section 4.4 Principle 06.16 | REP1-024 Environment Agency | Following a comment received from the Environment Agency requesting a new principle (06.16) to include a flood risk principle for structures across the Moor Beck and Cringle Beck. |
| Section 4.7 Principle 09.05 | REP1-026 Historic England | Following a comment raised by Historic England, wording has been added to help reduce the impact/encroachment of the proposals to the Scheduled Monuments at Warrener Lane. |
| Section 4.2 Principle 03.08 | N/A | Following National Highways review rewording of principle 03.08 to include further clarity on Schedule Monuments that the cycleway and overbridge must minimise encroachment upon. |
| | | |